November 15, 2021

Oppose SB 184: Hurts Small Online Sellers, Rejected by 15 States, Unnecessary Due to Federal Compromise

Senator Steve Wilson
Chair
Financial Institutions and Technology Committee
Ohio State Senate

Dear Chair Wilson and Members of the Committee,

The Chamber of Progress urges your committee to oppose SB 184, a bill that would hurt small online sellers, has been rejected by 15 state legislatures this year, and is unnecessary given that both big-box retailers and online marketplaces have endorsed a new compromise version of this bill at the federal level.

SB 184 would make small online sellers collateral damage in Walmart’s battle against Amazon. The bill represents an effort by Walmart, Home Depot, and other big-box retailers to hurt their biggest competitor Amazon under the false pretext of eliminating a small number of bad people from selling counterfeit and stolen goods online. In reality, the marketplace giants are trying to stifle healthy competition and will ultimately hurt Etsy artisans, eBay sellers, and other online microbusinesses.

SB 184 would hurt small sellers, decrease opportunity, increase red tape, and hinder consumers in search of a deal. It won’t stop sophisticated counterfeiters, who will surely adapt to the new rules. It’s an overreaction to an exaggerated problem, with considerable collateral damage.

Fifteen States have rejected bills similar to SB 184, prioritizing the needs of online entrepreneurs and consumer choice. Over the past few months, the retailer backers of this bill have also worked to pass similar legislation in 18 states. But after careful consideration, 15 state legislatures have decided to reject this legislation because of its negative impact on small sellers. The only state to pass this legislation is Walmart’s home state, Arkansas.
State Legislatures Considering State Versions of INFORM Consumers Act (2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approved</th>
<th>Rejected</th>
<th>Still Under Consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Controlled</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>California (SB 301) &lt;br&gt; Nevada (SB 314) &lt;br&gt; Washington (HB 1543)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican Controlled</td>
<td>Arkansas (SB 470)</td>
<td>Arizona (HB 2383)* &lt;br&gt; Florida (SB 1072, HB 1223) &lt;br&gt; Georgia (SB 151, HB 327)* &lt;br&gt; Iowa (SB 1233) &lt;br&gt; Kansas (HB 2433) &lt;br&gt; Missouri (HB 290) &lt;br&gt; Nebraska (LB 603) &lt;br&gt; North Carolina (HB 869)* &lt;br&gt; Oklahoma (HB 1627) &lt;br&gt; Tennessee (HB 709, SB 528) &lt;br&gt; Texas (HB 3852)* &lt;br&gt; West Virginia (HB 2908)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican Controlled</td>
<td>Ohio (HB 272 / SB 184)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both Democratic and Republican led states rejected similar bills, recognizing this legislation would hurt small sellers, decrease opportunity, increase red tape, and hinder consumers in search of a deal.

In several state legislative hearings over the past few months, both Republican and Democratic legislators have expressed hesitation about the impacts of these bills on small online sellers. These include:

**West Virginia Senator Mike Romano (D)**
"Is there any evidence that this [online retail crime] is going on in West Virginia? There’s a lot of shoplifting going on...but I don’t think any drug addicts are running online businesses. I’ve not heard anybody say there was an active black market in stolen property in my area... certainly nobody’s come to me and said that. If you’re buying from someone who is not reputable, that will take care of itself."

**Georgia Rep. Bert Reeves (R)**
"We all can agree that there are bad players, that we need to be able to have better tools to be able to stop what they’re doing...but perhaps this proposal...it’s a net that brings in way too many fish that we don’t mean to bring in. [...]"

**Georgia Rep. Zulma Lopez (D)**
"Not everyone that is selling [online] is going to be doing anything illegal. I'm thinking about the small business owner that is growing their business. As soon as they meet that [sales] threshold they have 24 hours to comply. That is pretty cumbersome."

**West Virginia Senator Amy Grady (R)**
"I'm just thinking about the sellers on Etsy that sell handmade things or one-of-a-kind items,
I wouldn’t think they’d fall under the same category of trying to resale things that were stolen from a department store."

Finally, a federal compromise version of this bill has already been endorsed by both retailers and leading online marketplaces, making this legislation unnecessary. In October, U.S. Reps. Jan Schakowsky (D) and Gus Bilirakis (R) introduced the federal Integrity, Notification, and Fairness in Online Retail Marketplaces for Consumers (INFORM) Act, aiming to combat the online sale of stolen, counterfeit, and dangerous consumer products.

Due to changes that the bill’s sponsors made to address the concerns of small online sellers and marketplaces, the new legislation is now supported by both retail groups such as the Retail Industry Leaders Association and Buy Safe America Coalition, as well as a coalition including Etsy and eBay, as well as Amazon. The consensus approach reflected in this new version of the legislation represents the best model for tackling this issue without harming small online sellers.

We hope you will heed the perspectives of the legislators who have assessed the consequences of passing state versions of INFORM Consumers Act and oppose SB 184. This bill would take sides for Walmart against Amazon, while hurting small online business owners. This approach has been rejected in all but one state legislature this year -- by both Republicans and Democrats -- because of its negative impact on small online sellers. Rather than pass this bill, the Ohio legislature should defer to the U.S. Congress as it considers an improved compromise version of this legislation that has broader support.

Sincerely,

Montana Williams
Director of State & Local Public Policy
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